arXiv:0812.2461v1 [math.SG] 12 Dec 2008

THE C^0–CONTACT TOPOLOGY AND THE GROUP OF CONTACT HOMEOMORPHISMS

AUGUSTIN BANYAGA AND PETER W. SPAETH

Abstract. Let (M, α) be a smooth manifold with a contact form α. Based on the approach of Oh and Müller [15] we construct a contact topology on each of the spaces of contact isotopies and strictly contact isotopies of (M, α). We further define the collections of contact homeomorphisms, $C(M, \alpha)$, and strictly contact homeomorphisms, $S(M, \alpha)$, and prove that the latter forms a group.

If the contact form on M is regular, then $S(M, \alpha)$ is a central extension of the group of hamiltonian homeomorphisms of the manifold $B = M/S^1$, the quotient of M by the flow of the Reeb field of the regular contact form. Moreover, we prove in that case the Viterbo uniqueness of continuous contact hamiltonians.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. The contact topology 2
3. Calculus of contact vector fields 6
4. Proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 8
5. The regular contact case 12
5.1. The proof of Theorem 5.1 17
5.2. The proof of Theorem 5.2 19
5.3. The proof of Theorem 5.3 19
5.4. The proof of Lemma 5.1 21
6. Remarks on the contact rigidity 22
References 23

1. Introduction

Oh-Müller [15] have defined the *hamiltonian topology* on the space of hamiltonian isotopies of a symplectic manifold (M, ω) and used it to define the group $\mathrm{Hameo}(M, \omega)$ of hamiltonian homeomorphisms. In [4], the

---

Date: December 12, 2008.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53D35; Secondary 53D40.

Key words and phrases. contact topology, contact homeomorphisms, topological contact hamiltonian, continuous contact hamiltonian, contact metric structure, hamiltonian homeomorphisms, regular contact forms, prequanization bundle.

hamiltonian topology has been generalized to a *symplectic topology* on the spaces of all symplectic isotopies, and has been used to construct a group of symplectic homeomorphisms, nicknamed the group of strong symplectic homeomorphisms, generalizing the group $\mathrm{Hameo}(M,\omega)$.

The goal of this paper is to define the *contact topology* on the space of contact isotopies of a contact manifold (M,α) and use it to define the space of contact homeomorphisms. Formally, the definitions go through as in [15], or in [4]. However, several difficulties arise when one attempts to construct a group of contact homeomorphisms as in [4]. This forces us to restrict our construction to strictly contact isotopies. Then the analogies with the hamiltonian case of a symplectic manifold appear. We prove that the set $S(M,\alpha)$ of strictly contact homeomorphisms form a group (Theorem 2.2). This is the analogue of the group $\mathrm{Hameo}(M,\omega)$ of hamiltonian homeomorphisms [15].

The analogies with the hamiltonian case become even more appealing in the case of regular contact forms. In that case, we generalize a result of Oh-Müller showing that the hamiltonian topology is nontrivial (Theorem 5.1) and Viterbo's theorem on the uniqueness of continuous hamiltonians (Theorem 5.2). We also prove that $S_\infty(M,\alpha)$ is a central extension by S^1 of the group of hamitonian homeomorphisms of the basis $B = M/S^1$ (Theorem 5.3). We end this paper by some remarks on the Eliashberg-Gromov "rigidity" of strictly contact diffeomorphisms. The results of this paper have been announced in [6].

2. The contact topology

Let (M,α) be a smooth manifold of dimension $2n+1$, equipped with a contact form α, i.e. a smooth one-form α such that $\nu_\alpha := \alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^n$ is a volume form.

There exists a unique vector field $\xi = \xi(\alpha)$, called the Reeb field of the contact form α such that $\alpha(\xi) = 1$ and $i(\xi)d\alpha = 0$. Here $i(X)\omega$ stands for the interior product of a vector field X with a differential form ω. A contact form is called a *regular* contact form if the orbits of the Reeb field generate a free S^1 action on M. The quotient manifold $B = M/S^1$ is a symplectic manifold (B,ω) and if $p : M \to B$ is the canonical projection, then $p^*\omega = d\alpha$. We refer to [13] for the standard reference on contact geometry.

The set $\mathcal{L}(M,\alpha)$ of vector fields X on M such that $L_X\alpha = \mu_X\alpha$ for some function $\mu_X : M \to \mathbb{R}$, where L_X denotes the Lie derivative along the vector field X is a Lie algebra, called the Lie algebra of *contact vector fields*.

The sub-Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_\alpha(M)$ of vector fields X such that $\mu_X = 0$ is called the algebra of *strictly contact vector fields*.

The Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}(M,\alpha)$ is isomorphic to the space $C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$ of smooth functions on M. The isomorphism $I : \mathcal{L}(M,\alpha) \to C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})$ is simply defined by $I(X) = \alpha(X)$. We note the following fact:

$$\xi.(I(X)) = \mu_X.$$

Hence $\mathcal{L}_\alpha(M)$ corresponds to the collection of *basic functions* $C_b^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, i.e functions $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\xi.f = 0$.

Either Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}(M, \alpha)$ or $\mathcal{L}_\alpha(M)$ supports the following norm

$$||X|| = \text{osc}(I(X)) + |c(X)| \tag{1}$$

where

$$\text{osc}(F) = \max_{x\in M} F(x) - \min_{x\in M} F(x)$$

and

$$c(X) = \int_M I(X)\nu_\alpha.$$

An isotopy of M is a smooth map $\phi : M \times [0, 1] \to M$ such that for all $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\phi_t : M \to M \quad x \mapsto \phi(x, t)$$

is a smooth diffeomorphism and $\phi_0 = \text{id}$. To any isotopy we attach the family of vector fields

$$\dot{\phi}_t(x) = \frac{d\phi_t}{dt}(\phi_t^{-1}(x)).$$

An isotopy ϕ_t is called a contact isotopy if $\dot{\phi}_t \in \mathcal{L}(M, \alpha)$ for all t, and a strictly contact isotopy if $\dot{\phi}_t \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha(M)$. The collections of contact isotopies and strictly contact isotopies are denoted $\mathcal{C}(M, \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{S}(M, \alpha)$, respectively. We will usually write $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ since the contact manifold (M, α) is fixed throughout.

We define a distance on $\mathcal{C}$ (or $\mathcal{S}$) as follows: for $\Phi = (\phi_t), \Psi = (\psi_t) \in \mathcal{C}$, set

$$D(\Phi, \Psi) := \int_0^1 (||\dot{\phi}_t - \dot{\psi}_t|| + ||\dot{\phi}_t^{-1} - \dot{\psi}_t^{-1}||)\ dt. \tag{2}$$

The length $l(\Phi)$ of a contact isotopy Φ is

$$l(\Phi) = D(\Phi, \text{id})$$

where id is the constant isotopy $\text{id}(t) = \text{id}_M$ for all t. Observe that this length is slightly different from the one defined in [5], but reduces to it on $\mathcal{S}$.

Let Homeo(M) be the group of homeomorphisms of M with the C^0-topology. This topology is the metric topology induced by the following metric [15]: let d_0 be a distance on M induced by some riemannian metric. For $h, g \in \text{Homeo}(M)$ set

$$\overline{d}(h, g) = \max\{\sup_{x\in M} d_0(h(x), g(x)), \sup_{x\in M} d_0(h^{-1}(x), g^{-1}(x))\}.$$

We denote the collection of continuous paths of homeomorphisms of M based at the identity by $\mathcal{H}(M, \mathrm{id})$, or $\mathcal{H}$ if there is no confusion. If $\lambda = (\lambda_t), \mu = (\mu_t), t \in [0,1]$ are elements of $\mathcal{H}$ we define

$$\overline{d}(\lambda, \mu) = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \overline{d}(\lambda_t, \mu_t). \tag{3}$$

Definition 2.1. *The* **contact topology** *on $\mathcal{C}$ (or on $\mathcal{S}$) is the metric topology induced by the contact distance d_{cont}:*

$$d_{\mathrm{cont}}(\Phi, \Psi) = \overline{d}(\Phi, \Psi) + D(\Phi, \Psi).$$

The contact topology is independent of the choice of metric on M since the C^0 topology is independent of the metric d_0 [15].

Following [15] we now define the set of (strictly) contact homeomorphisms. Consider the developing map $\mathrm{Dev} : \mathcal{C} \to C^\infty(M \times [0,1], \mathbb{R})$

$$\mathrm{Dev}(\phi_H) = H \in C^\infty(M \times [0,1], \mathbb{R}) \tag{4}$$

and inclusion map $\iota_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{H}$

$$\iota_{\mathcal{C}}(\phi_H) = \phi_H \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{5}$$

The product of these maps is called the unfolding map and is defined as follows

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (\mathrm{Dev}, \iota_{\mathcal{C}}) : \mathcal{C} & \to & C^\infty(M \times [0,1], \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{H} \\ \phi_H & \mapsto & (H, \phi_H). \end{array} \tag{6}$$

Denote $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{C}} = \mathrm{Image}(\mathrm{Dev}, \iota_{\mathcal{C}}) \subset C^\infty(M \times [0,1], \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{H}$ with the product topology. Let $\overline{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{C}}}$ be the closure of $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{C}}$ inside $L^{(\infty,1)}(M \times [0,1], \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{H}$. Both the developing and inclusion maps extend to continuous maps

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \overline{\mathrm{Dev}} & : & \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{C}}} \to L^{(\infty,1)} \\ \overline{\iota_{\mathcal{C}}} & : & \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{C}}} \to \mathcal{H} \end{array}$$

and the evaluation map $ev_1 : \mathcal{H} \to \mathrm{Homeo}(M)$ $\gamma \mapsto \gamma(1)$ also extends to the image of the inclusion

$$\overline{ev_1} : \mathrm{Image}(\overline{\iota_{\mathcal{C}}}) \to \mathrm{Homeo}(M).$$

Definition 2.2. *Let (M, α) be a compact contact manifold. Define the set of contact homeomorphisms*

$$C(M, \alpha) = \{h \in \mathrm{Homeo}(M) \mid h = \overline{ev_1}(\gamma), \gamma \in \mathrm{Image}(\overline{\iota_{\mathcal{C}}})\}.$$

In other words a homeomorphism $h \in \mathrm{Homeo}(M)$ is a ***contact homeomorphism*** *if there exists a continuous path $\gamma : [0,1] \to \mathrm{Homeo}(M)$ and a Cauchy sequence of contact isotopies Φ_n with respect to the contact distance, C^0-convergent to γ such that $\gamma(0) = \mathrm{id}$, $\gamma(1) = h$. Also in particular the sequence $H_n = I(\dot{\Phi}_n)$ is Cauchy with respect to the $L^{(\infty,1)}-$ contact length.*

Observe that the set of contact homeomorphisms admits two topologies: As a subset of Homeo(M), $C(M,\alpha)$ inherits the subspace topology under the C^0-topology, and, coming from the quotient topology induced from the surjective mapping

$$p := \overline{ev_1} \circ \overline{\iota_{\mathcal{C}}} : \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{C}}} \to C(M,\alpha).$$

(In the latter topology recall that a subset $A \subset C(M,\alpha)$ is open if, by definition, $p^{-1}(A) \subset \overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ is open in the contact topology.)

We regard equation (2) as defining an $L^{(1,\infty)}-$ contact topology.

As in the symplectic category [15] we may also introduce an $L^{\infty}-$ version of the contact topology: For $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{C}(M,\alpha)$, set

$$D_{\infty}(\Phi,\Psi) := \max_{t\in[0,1]} \left(||\dot{\phi}_t - \dot{\psi}_t|| + ||\dot{\phi}_t^{-1} - \dot{\psi}_t^{-1}|| \right) \tag{7}$$

Here again the norm $||\cdot||$ comes from equation (1) and we define the uniform contact distance

$$d^{\infty}_{\text{cont}}(\Phi,\Psi) := \overline{d}(\Phi,\Psi) + D_{\infty}(\Phi,\Psi)$$

for two contact isotopies Φ and Ψ. Completing with respect to d^{∞}_{cont} within the product of $L^{\infty}-$ functions and paths of homeomorphisms

$$L^{\infty}(M \times [0,1], \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{H}$$

may result in a new set, say $C_{\infty}(M,\alpha)$ and an obvious question to ask is the analogue of Müller's theorem [14].

Question 2.1. *Do the following sets coincide*

$$C_{\infty}(M,\alpha) = C(M,\alpha)?$$

Likewise the above discussion holds over to the case of strictly contact isotopies and, replacing $\mathcal{C}$ with $\mathcal{S}$ we define the set of strictly contact homeomorphisms, denoted $S(M,\alpha)$ by completing at the level of isotopies within the product of the collection of smooth basic functions and paths of homeomorphisms

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{S}} := \text{Image}(\text{Dev}, \iota_S) \subset C^{\infty}_b(M \times [0,1], \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{H}.$$

Here we have Dev $: \mathcal{S} \to C^{\infty}_b(M \times [0,1], \mathbb{R})$

$$\text{Dev}(\phi_H) = H$$

and $\iota_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{H}$

$$\iota_{\mathcal{S}}(\phi_H) = \phi_H.$$

Again we may ask the following question

Question 2.2. *Do the following sets coincide*

$$S_{\infty}(M,\alpha) = S(M,\alpha)?$$

Our first result is

Theorem 2.1. *$\overline{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{S}}}$ is a topological group.*

The product and inverse of two elements $(\phi, H), (\psi, F)$ of $\overline{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{S}}}$ are defined to be

$$(\phi, H) \cdot (\psi, F) := (\phi \circ \psi, H + F \circ (\phi_t)^{-1}) \qquad \text{(product)} \tag{8}$$

$$(\phi, H)^{-1} := (\phi^{-1}, -H \circ \phi_t) \qquad \text{(inverse)}. \tag{9}$$

Definition 2.3. *In the definitions of $C(M, \alpha)$, $S(M, \alpha), C_\infty(M, \alpha)$, and $S_\infty(M, \alpha)$, the limit of $I(\dot{\Phi_n})$ in $L^{(1,\infty)}$ is called a topological contact hamiltonian of h and the limit of $I(\dot{\Phi_n})$ in $L^{(\infty)}$ is called a continuous contact hamiltonian of h.*

The automorphism group of the contact structure defined by the contact form α is the group $\mathrm{Diff}(M, \alpha)$ consisting of those diffeomorphisms ϕ such that $\phi^*\alpha = f_\phi \alpha$, for some function f_ϕ.

We propose that the automorphism group of the C^0-contact topology be defined as the closure $\overline{\mathrm{Diff}(M, \alpha)}$ of the group $\mathrm{Diff}(M, \alpha)$ of contact diffeomorphisms inside the group $\mathrm{Homeo}(M)$ of homeomorphisms of M, endowed with the C^0-topology.

This group is the analogue of the group $\mathrm{Sympeo}(M, \omega)$ of symplectic homeomorphisms of Oh-Müller [15].

We may call this group $\mathrm{Conteo}(M, \alpha)$, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Conteo}(M, \alpha) = \overline{\mathrm{Diff}(M, \alpha)} \subset \mathrm{Homeo}(M)$$

Let $\overline{\mathrm{Diff}_\alpha(M)}$ be the closure in $\mathrm{Homeo}(M)$ of the group $\mathrm{Diff}_\alpha(M) = \{\phi \mid \phi^*\alpha = \alpha\}$. Then $\overline{\mathrm{Diff}_\alpha(M)}$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Conteo}(M)$ containing $S(M, \alpha)$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (M, α) be a compact contact manifold.*

(i) The collection of strictly contact homeomorphisms $S(M, \alpha)$ forms a normal subgroup of $\overline{\mathrm{Diff}_\alpha(M)}$.

(ii) Denote by $G_\alpha(M)$ the group of all time-one maps of strictly contact isotopies. The group $S(M, \alpha)$ contains $G(M, \alpha)$ as a proper normal subgroup, and it is contained in the group of homeomorphisms preserving the measure defined by ν_α.

3. Calculus of contact vector fields

Suppose that ϕ_t and ψ_t are isotopies of M and $h_t = \phi_t \circ \psi_t$. The chain rule gives

$$\dot{h_t} = \dot{\phi_t} + (\phi_t)_*(\dot{\psi_t})$$

which implies that

$$(\dot{\phi_t^{-1}}) = -(\phi_t^{-1})_*\dot{\phi_t}.$$

Therefore if $g_t = \phi_t^{-1} \circ \psi_t$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{g}_t &= -(\phi_t^{-1})_* \dot{\phi}_t + (\phi_t^{-1})_* \dot{\psi}_t \\ &= (\phi_t^{-1})_* (\dot{\psi}_t - \dot{\phi}_t). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

If u is any diffeomorphisms of M and ϕ_t is an isotopy and $h_t = u \circ \phi_t \circ u^{-1}$ then $\dot{h}_t = u_* \dot{\phi}_t$.

If ϕ_t is a contact isotopy, the family of functions $H = (H_t) = I(\dot{\phi}_t)$ is called the generator of the isotopy ϕ_t. We write $(\phi_t) = \Phi_H$.

The inverse of the mapping $I : \mathcal{L}(M, \alpha) \to C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}), X \mapsto \alpha(X)$ is the map

$$f \mapsto \gamma(f) = Y_f + f\xi$$

where Y_f is the unique vector field such that $\alpha(Y_f) = 0$ and which is defined by the equation

$$i(Y_f) d\alpha = (\xi.f)\alpha - df.$$

This is well defined since $d\alpha$ is a symplectic structure on the contact distribution $D = \ker \alpha$. It is immediate that

$$L_{\gamma(f)} \alpha = (\xi.f)\alpha$$

and

$$I(\gamma(f)) = f.$$

Now suppose that $H = (H_t)$ and $F = (F_t)$ are generators of strictly contact isotopies $\Phi_H = (\phi_t)$ and $\Phi_F = (\psi_t)$.

Denote by $F\#\overline{H}$ the generator of $\Phi_H^{-1} \circ \Phi_F$

Lemma 3.1. *We have:*

$$(F\#\overline{H})_t := (F_t - H_t) \circ \phi_t. \tag{11}$$

Proof. Recall the general identity (see [4])

$$i_{\rho_* X} \omega = (\rho^{-1})^* (i_X \rho^* \omega), \tag{12}$$

where ρ is any diffeomorphism of a general manifold M , X any vector field X and ω. any differential form.

Equations (10) and (12) imply

$$i_{\dot{g}_t} \alpha = i_{[(\phi_t^{-1})_* (\dot{\psi}_t - \dot{\phi}_t)]} \alpha = \phi_t^* \left(i_{(\dot{\psi}_t - \dot{\phi}_t)} (\phi_t)^* \alpha \right).$$

Since ϕ_t is a strictly contact isotopy, the right hand side in the previous identity equals $\left(\alpha(\dot{\psi}_t - \dot{\phi}_t) \right) \circ \phi_t$ and thus $i_{\dot{g}_t} \alpha = (F_t - H_t) \circ \phi_t$, which is exactly what we wished to show. □

This simple formula becomes more complicated for general contact isotoies. In this case we have

$$(F\#\overline{H})_t = (f_{\phi_t} \cdot (F_t - H_t)) \circ \phi_t$$

where f_{ϕ_t} is the function such that $(\phi_t)^*\alpha = f_{\phi_t}\alpha$. A straightforward calculation gives

$$f_{\phi_t} = \exp\left(\int_0^t (\xi.H_s)\circ\phi_s)\ ds\right)$$

where $H_t = I(\dot{\phi_t})$ is the generator of the isotopy $\Phi = (\phi_t)$.

Therefore there is no nice formula relating the length of the isotopy $\Phi_H^{-1}\Phi_F$ and the lengths of the isotopies Φ_H and Φ_F.

4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 2.1 AND 2.2

We begin by proving a straightforward and useful identity for computing the contact length of a strictly contact isotopy generated by a function of the form of equation (11). Let $\Phi_H = (\phi_t)$ and $\Phi_F = (\psi_t)$ be strictly contact isotopies on M and $g_t = \phi_t^{-1}\circ\psi_t$.

Recall that in our notation given a function $H : M\times[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$ the contact length of Φ_H is

$$||H|| = \int_0^1 \left(\text{osc } H_t + \left|\int_M H_t\ \nu_\alpha\right|\right)\ dt.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let Φ and Ψ be strictly contact isotopies with generating Hamiltonian functions H and F respectively. The contact length satisfies*

$$||H\#\overline{F}|| = ||H - F||.$$

Proof. First, the contact term c in equation (1) of the composition g_t equals

$$c(F\#\overline{H}) = \int_M (F_t - H_t)\circ\phi_t\ \alpha\wedge(d\alpha)^n.$$

Now since ϕ_t is a strictly contact isotopy, this equals

$$\int_M (F_t - H_t)\circ\phi_t\cdot(\phi_t)^*(\alpha\wedge(d\alpha)^n) = \int_M (\phi_t)^*[(F_t - H_t)\cdot\alpha\wedge(d\alpha)^n],$$

and the change of variables formula finally implies

$$c(F\#\overline{H}) = \int_M (F - H)\nu_\alpha = c(F - H).$$

Along with the fact that $\text{osc}((F-H)\circ\phi_t) = \text{osc}(F-H)$, this proves the lemma. $\square$

Remark 1. *Observe that Lemma 4.1 is false for a general contact isotopy. This is a source of difficulty when studying the more general contact homeomorphisms.*

Now let us proceed to the proof of Theorem 2.1. Let (ϕ, H) resp. (ψ, F) be two elements of $\overline{\mathcal{Q}_\mathcal{S}}$. Recall that ϕ resp. ψ is a homeomorphism of M which is the endpoint of a C^0-limit of a sequence of strictly contact isotopies $\Phi_{H_n} = (\phi_n^t)$ resp. $\Psi_{F_n} = \psi_n^t$ whose sequence of generators H_n resp. F_n is Cauchy and converges to the function H resp. F.

Clearly the time-one maps of the isotopies $\Phi_n^{-1} \circ \Psi_n$ converge uniformly to $\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$. We need only show that the generators $F_n \# \overline{H_n}$ of $\Phi_n^{-1} \circ \Psi_n$ form a Cauchy sequence.

By Lemma 4.1 $||F_n \# \overline{H_n}|| = ||F_n - H_n||$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. For any integer n_0 there exists N_0 such that for any $n, m \geq N_0$ we have $||F_{n_0} \circ \phi_n - F_{n_0} \circ \phi_m|| < \epsilon$.*

Proof. The uniform continuity of F_{n_0} implies that there exists $\delta = \delta(F_{n_0}) > 0$ such that $||F_{n_0} \circ \phi_n - F_{n_0} \circ \phi_m|| < \epsilon$ if $\overline{d}(\phi_n, \phi_m) < \delta$. Such a N_0 exists since $\overline{d}(\phi_n, \phi_m) \to 0$. □

Now let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. There exist n_1 and n_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned} n, m \geq n_1 \quad &\Longrightarrow \quad ||H_n - H_m|| \leq \epsilon \text{ and} \\ n, m \geq n_2 \quad &\Longrightarrow \quad ||F_n - F_m|| \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Let $n_0 \geq \max\{n_1, n_2\}$ and N_0 be large enough to satisfy the preceding lemma applied to either function F_{n_0} or H_{n_0} with the given ϵ.

Then for any $n, m \geq N_0$

$$||F_n \# \overline{H_n} - F_m \# \overline{H_m}|| = ||(F_n - H_n) \circ \phi_n - (F_m - H_m) \circ \phi_m||.$$

by equation (11).

Adding and subtracting the quantities $F_m \circ \phi_n$ and $H_n \circ \phi_m$ and applying the triangle inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} ||F_n \# \overline{H_n} - F_m \# \overline{H_n}|| \quad &\leq \quad ||F_n \circ \phi_n - F_m \circ \phi_n|| + ||F_m \circ \phi_n - F_m \circ \phi_m|| \\ &+ \quad ||H_m \circ \phi_m - H_n \circ \phi_m|| + ||H_n \circ \phi_m - H_n \circ \phi_n||. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} ||F_n \circ \phi_n - F_m \circ \phi_n|| &= ||F_n - F_m|| < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \\ ||H_m \circ \phi_m - H_n \circ \phi_m|| &= ||H_m - H_n|| < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the term $||F_m \circ \phi_n - F_m \circ \phi_m||$. Again by the triangle inequality and Lemma 4.2

$$\begin{aligned} ||F_m \circ \phi_n - F_m \circ \phi_m|| \quad &\leq \quad ||F_m \circ \phi_n - F_{n_0} \circ \phi_n|| \\ &+ \quad ||F_{n_0} \circ \phi_n - F_{n_0} \circ \phi_m|| + ||F_{n_0} \circ \phi_m - F_m \circ \phi_m|| \\ &= \quad ||F_m - F_{n_0}|| + ||F_{n_0} \circ \phi_n - F_{n_0} \circ \phi_m|| \\ &+ \quad ||F_{n_0} - F_m|| \\ &< \quad 3\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Similarly one obtains the inequality

$$||H_n \circ \phi_m - H_n \circ \phi_n|| < 3\epsilon.$$

Putting this all together we have shown that

$$||F_n \# \overline{H_n} - F_m \# \overline{H_m}|| < 8\epsilon.$$

This proves that $\overline{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{S}}}$ is a group. □

Recall that the product and inverse of two elements $(\phi, H), (\psi, F) \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{S}}}$ are defined by the equations

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi, H) \cdot (\psi, F) &= (\phi \circ \psi, H + F \circ (\phi_t)^{-1}) \\ (\phi, H)^{-1} &= (\phi^{-1}, -H \circ \phi_t)\end{aligned}$$

We now show that these maps are continuous with respect to the contact topology. Indeed let ϕ_n, H_n and ψ_n, F_n be sequences of elements of $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{S}}$ such that under the contact metric d_{cont}

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi_n, H_n) &\overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} (\phi, H) \\ (\psi_n, F_n) &\overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} (\psi, F)\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\phi_n \circ \psi_n$ converges to $\phi \circ \psi$ under the $\overline{d}$ metric. Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned}||H_n + F_n \circ \phi^{-1} - (H + F \circ \phi^{-1})|| &\leq ||H - H_n|| \\ &+ ||F_n \circ \phi_n^{-1} - F \circ \phi^{-1}||\end{aligned}$$

and this in turn is smaller than

$$||H - H_n|| + ||F - F_n|| + ||F \circ \phi_n^{-1} - F \circ \phi^{-1}||.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}||H_n + F_n \circ \phi^{-1} - (H + F \circ \phi^{-1})|| &\leq ||H - H_n|| + ||F - F_n|| \\ &+ ||F \circ \phi_n^{-1} - F \circ \phi^{-1}||.\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Now $||H - H_n||$ and $||F - F_n||$ both approach 0 by assumption. The sequence of functions $F \circ \phi_n^{-1}$ is bounded by $\sup\{|F(x,t)| \mid (x,t) \in M \times [0,1]\}$ and converges almost everywhere to $(F \circ \phi)$ and thus by the dominated convergence theorem the third term of equation (13) satisfies

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||F \circ \phi_n^{-1} - F \circ \phi^{-1}|| = 0$$

and this proves that under the d_{cont} metric we have

$$(\phi_n, H_n) \cdot (\psi_n, F_n) \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} (\phi \circ \psi, H + F \circ \phi^{-1})$$

and so the product map is continuous.

For the inverse, suppose that a given sequence (ϕ_n, H_n) converges to (ϕ, H) under the contact metric d_{cont}. Certainly $\overline{d}(\phi_n^{-1}, \phi^{-1}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. We must show that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} || - H_n \circ \phi_n - (-H \circ \phi)|| = 0.$$

This time we have

$$\begin{aligned}|| - H \circ \phi_n + H \circ \phi|| &\leq || - H_n \circ \phi_n + H \circ \phi_n|| + || - H \circ \phi_n + H \circ \phi|| \\ &= ||H - H_n|| + || - H \circ \phi_n + H \circ \phi||\end{aligned}$$

and again the first term approaches zero by assumption and the second term approaches zero by the dominated convergence theorem.

This proves that the inverse is continuous and finishes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

Now we proceed to prove theorem 2.2. The group structure on $\overline{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{S}}}$ clearly projects continuously to composition and inverse on $S(M,\alpha)$.

We show that $S(M,\alpha)$ forms a normal subgroup of $\overline{\mathrm{Diff}_{\alpha}(M)}$. Indeed suppose that $u \in \overline{\mathrm{Diff}_{\alpha}(M)}$ and that u_n is a sequence in $\mathrm{Diff}_{\alpha}(M)$ which C^0-converges to u. Let $\Phi_n = (\phi_n^t)$ be a Cauchy sequence of strictly contact isotopies, then $u_n \circ \Phi_n \circ u_n^{-1}$ is a Cauchy sequence of strictly contact isotopies since if H_n is the generator of Φ_n, then the generator of $u_n \circ \Phi_n \circ u_n^{-1}$ is $H_n \circ u_n^{-1}$ and the contact length satisfies $||H_n \circ u_n^{-1}|| = ||H_n||$ by the proof of Lemma 4.1. This proves that $S(M,\alpha)$ is a normal subgroup of $\overline{\mathrm{Diff}_{\alpha}(M)}$.

We now prove that each element $\phi \in S(M,\alpha)$ preserves the measure $\nu_\alpha = \alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^n$. Let $\mathcal{U} \subset M$ be a measurable subset and $\chi_{\mathcal{U}}$ denote the characteristic function of $\mathcal{U}$. For all k ,

$$|\mathcal{U}| = \int_M \chi_{\mathcal{U}} \cdot \alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^n = \int_M \phi_k^*(\chi_{\mathcal{U}} \cdot \alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^n)$$

by the change of variables formula and

$$\int_M \phi_k^*(\chi_{\mathcal{U}} \cdot \alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^n) = \int_M (\chi_U \circ \phi_k) \cdot \alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^n$$

since $\phi_k^*\alpha = \alpha$. Therefore:

$$|\mathcal{U}| = \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_M (\chi_{\mathcal{U}} \circ \phi_k) \cdot \alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^n.$$

By Fatou's lemma

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{U}| &= \lim_k \int_M (\chi_{\mathcal{U}} \circ \phi_k)\nu_\alpha \\ &\leq \int_M \lim_{k\to\infty} \chi_{\mathcal{U}} \circ \phi \ \nu_\alpha \\ &= \int_M (\chi_{\mathcal{U}} \circ \phi_k)\nu_\alpha \\ &= |\phi(\mathcal{U})|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $|\mathcal{U}| \leq |\varphi(\mathcal{U})|$ for all measurable subsets $\mathcal{U} \subset M$. In the above argument we replace $\mathcal{U}$ by $\mathcal{V} = \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ and obtain

$$|\phi(\mathcal{U})| = |\mathcal{V}| \leq |\phi(\mathcal{V})| = |\mathcal{U}|.$$

Hence $|\phi(\mathcal{U})| = |\mathcal{U}|$.

It is not difficult to see that the group of strictly contact homeomorphisms forms a proper sub-group of all $\nu_\alpha-$preserving homeomorphisms. Compare with Example 4.2, [15].

To begin, let Z be the cylinder

$$Z=\{(r,\theta,z)\ |r\in(0,1),\ \theta\in[0,2\pi)\ -1\le z\le 1\}$$

with contact form $\alpha=\frac{r^2}{2}d\theta+dz$. In these coordinates the Reeb field is $\xi=\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ and so a function $H:Z\times[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$ is basic if $\frac{\partial H}{\partial z}=0$. The strictly contact vector field of a basic function H away from $r=0$ is

$$X_H=-\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial H}{\partial\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial H}{\partial r}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}+\left(H-\frac{r}{2}\frac{\partial H}{\partial r}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

Now let $\rho:(0,1]\to\mathbb{R}_+$ be a smooth decreasing function such that for some small $\epsilon>0$

$$\rho(\tau)=\begin{cases}0 & \tau\in(1-\epsilon,1]\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} & \tau\in(0,\epsilon).\end{cases}$$

Consider the (autonomous) basic function $H^\rho=-\int_r^1 s\rho(s)ds$. The time-one map ϕ^ρ of the vector field X_{H^ρ} is smooth and $\alpha-$preserving away from $r=0$ and extends continuously (but not smoothly) to a measure preserving mapping of the cylinder Z. By approximating ρ with a sequence ρ_n of smooth functions on $[0,1]$ we obtain that $\phi^\rho\in S(Z,\alpha)$.

Using this construction as the local model this map can be implanted in any M^3 to produce $\phi^\rho\in S(M,\alpha)\setminus G_\alpha(M)$. A similar example can be constructed on any 2n+1 dimensional contact manifold (M,α).

This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.2. □

As in [15] it is interesting to ask whether, in the above example, $\phi^\rho\in S(M,\alpha)$ if

$$\int_0^1 s\rho(s)ds=+\infty?$$

5. THE REGULAR CONTACT CASE

Throughout this section we assume that the contact form α is regular. This means that the flow of the Reeb field of α generates a free S^1 action on M, the quotient manifold $B=M/S^1$ is a symplectic manifold (B,ω) and the canonical projection

$$\pi:M\to B$$

is a principal S^1 fibration such that $\pi^*\omega=d\alpha$. The contact form α is a connection in the principal bundle π, and the symplectic form ω is its curvature. Moreover the cohomology class $[\omega]$ of ω is integral. Conversely any compact symplectic manifold (B,ω) such that $[\omega]$ is an integral cohomology class, is the base of principal bundle $\pi:M\to B$ whose Chern class is $[\omega]$; M carries a regular contact form, which is a connection form with curvature ω. This bundle is called the *the prequantization* bundle [8]. Regular contact forms are of great interest in mechanics [16].

We use the close relationship between strictly contact diffeomorphisms of M with hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of B to extend to the strictly contact case some results obtained in the case of hamiltonian homeomorphisms. When $F : B \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function on a symplectic manifold B, we denote

$$||F||_{\text{Hofer}} = \int_0^1 \text{osc}_{x\in B}(F(x,t))dt,$$

and

$$||F||^{\infty}_{\text{Hofer}} = \max_t \{\text{osc}_{x\in B} F(x,t)\}$$

while when $H : M \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function on a contact manifold M,

$$||H|| = \int_0^1 \left(\text{osc}_{y\in M}(H(y,t)) + \left| \int_M H \ \nu_\alpha \right| \right) dt$$

(see formula (1)), and

$$||H||_\infty = \max_t \left(\text{osc}_{y\in M}(H) + \left| \int_M H \ \nu_\alpha \right| \right).$$

We will continue to denote by Φ_H the strictly contact isotopy of (M,α), generated by the function $H : M \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$, while we denote by ϕ_F the hamiltonian isotopy on (B,ω) generated by $F : B \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$.

Let us first recall well known results on strictly contact vector fields on the prequantization total space (M,α) and hamiltonian vector fields on the base (B,ω).

Scholium 5.1. *There is an explicit identification between the basic functions $C_b^\infty(M)$ on M and the smooth functions $C^\infty(B)$ of B.*

Proof. If $F \in C^\infty(B)$, then clearly $H = F \circ \pi \in C_b^\infty(M)$. Conversely, let $H \in C_b^\infty(M)$ and let $\mathcal{U} = (U_j)_{j\in J}$ be a trivializing open covering of B, i.e. the bundle $\pi : M \to B$ is trivial over each U_j. Let σ_U be a local section. Define

$$F(b) = H(\sigma_U(b)) \quad \text{for } b \in U. \tag{14}$$

Equation (14) clearly defines a smooth function. Moreover because H is equivariant F is well defined and satisfies $F \circ \pi = H$. Indeed let $x \in M$ and suppose that $\pi(x) \in U$. Then $F \circ \pi(x) = H\left(\sigma_U(\pi(x))\right)$ and this equals $H(x)$ because $\sigma_U(\pi(x))$ and x are contained in the same fiber and H is fiber-wise constant.

□

Note that the function F is not normalized, i.e.

$$\int_B F \ \omega^{\wedge d} \neq 0.$$

where the dimension of M is $2d$.

We normalize F by setting

$$\tilde{F} = F - \int_B F\ \omega^{\wedge d}.$$

Proposition 5.1. *With the above notations, we have:* $||H|| \geq ||\tilde{F}||_{\text{Hofer}}$ *and* $||H||_\infty \geq ||\tilde{F}||^\infty_{\text{Hofer}}$

Proof. The proof is trivial since because $H = F \circ \pi$,

$$\text{osc}_{x\in M}(H(x,t)) = \text{osc}_{y\in B}(F(y,t) = \text{osc}_{y\in B}(\tilde{F}(y,t)$$

□

Proposition 5.2. *A strictly contact isotopy* Φ_H *corresponds to a unique hamiltonian isotopy* ϕ_F*; the correspondence is given by*

$$X_F \leftrightarrow (d\alpha)^{-1}(-d(F\circ\pi)) + (F\circ\pi)\xi = \dot{\Phi}_H \tag{15}$$

at the level of corresponding vector fields.

Let $\pi : M \to B$ *be as above. For any* $y \in M$ *we have*

$$T\pi|_y(\dot{\Phi}_H) = X_F(\pi(y))$$

where X_F *denotes the hamiltonian vector field of the function* F. □

We now recall the definition of the the group of hamiltonian homeomorphisms [15].

Denote by $\mathcal{H}(B, \text{id}_B)$ the collection of paths of homeomorphisms of B starting at the identity

$$\mathcal{H}(B, \text{id}_B) = \{\lambda : [0,1] \to \text{Homeo}(B) \mid \lambda(0) = \text{id}_B\}$$

and equip this space with the C^0-topology induced by the metric $\overline{d}$. See equation (3). The symplectic homeomorphism group, denoted $\text{Sympeo}(B,\omega)$ is the closure of the group of symplectic diffeomorphisms $\text{Symp}(B,\omega)$ within the group of homeomorphisms of B.

Now denote by $P^{\text{ham}}(\text{Symp}(B,\omega), \text{id})$ the space of smooth hamiltonian isotopies $\lambda : [0,1] \to \text{Symp}(B,\omega)$ with $\lambda(0) = \text{id}$.

The hamiltonian distance between two hamiltonian isotopies $\lambda = \phi_H$ and $\mu = \psi_F$ is

$$d_{\text{ham}}(\lambda,\mu) := \overline{d}(\lambda,\mu) + ||F - H||_{\text{Hofer}}.$$

The developing map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{Dev} : P^{\text{ham}}(\text{Symp}(B,\omega), \text{id}) & \to & C^\infty_m(B \times [0,1], \mathbb{R}) \\ \lambda & \mapsto & F \end{array}$$

assigns to a hamiltonian isotopy the unique normalized hamiltonian function $F : B \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ generating the flow and the canonical inclusion map

$$\iota_{\text{ham}} : P^{\text{ham}}(\text{Symp}(B,\omega), \text{id}) \to \mathcal{H}(B, \text{id})$$

regards a hamiltonian isotopy as a path of homeomorphisms. The product of these maps is called the unfolding map

$$(\mathrm{Dev}, \iota_{\mathrm{ham}}) : P^{\mathrm{ham}}(\mathrm{Symp}(B,\omega),\mathrm{id}) \to C^\infty_m(B\times[0,1],\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{H}(B,\mathrm{id})$$

and its image is denoted

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{ham}} := \mathrm{Image}(\mathrm{Dev}, \iota_{\mathrm{ham}}) \subset \mathcal{H}(B,\mathrm{id}) \times C^\infty_m(B\times[0,1],\mathbb{R})$$

The inclusion and developing maps extend continuously to the closure $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathrm{ham}}$ and the evaluation map ev_1 extends to the image of $\bar\iota_{\mathrm{ham}}$

$$\overline{ev_1} : \mathrm{Image}(\bar\iota_{\mathrm{ham}}) \to \mathrm{Homeo}(B).$$

Then

$$\mathrm{Hameo}(B,\omega) := \{h \in \mathrm{Homeo}(B) \mid h = \overline{ev}_1(\lambda), \lambda \in \mathrm{Image}(\bar\iota_{\mathrm{ham}})\}.$$

is a topological group, called the group of hamiltonian homeomorphisms [15].

Let us now compare the C^0 distances between strictly contact isotopies of (M,α) and between hamiltonian isotopies of (B,ω). For this we need to describe some nice split riemannian metrics.

A *contact metric structure* on a contact manifold (M,α) is a couple (g,J) of a riemannian metric g and a 1-1 tensor field J such that

1. $J\xi = 0$
2. $J^2X = -X + \alpha(X)\xi$
3. $d\alpha(X,Y) = g(X,JY)$
4. $g(X,Y) = g(JX,JY) + \alpha(X)\alpha(Y)$

Such contact metric structures exist in abundance [7].

In the regular contact case, the connection form (contact form) α identifies T_xB with D_x, where $D = \mathrm{Ker}\ \alpha$ is the contact distribution. Hence (g,J) induces a split metric $g_M = g_B + \alpha \oplus \alpha$. Denote by $d_{0,M}, d_{0,B}, \overline{d}_M$ and $\overline{d}_B$ the corresponding C^0 distances on strictly contact isotopies on M and hamiltonian isotopies on B.

Lemma 5.1. *Let Φ_{H_i} (i= 1,2) be two strictly contact isotopies with generators H_i and ϕ_{F_i} be the corresponding hamiltonian isotopies with generators F_i such that $F_i \circ \pi = H_i$, (see Scholium 5.1) then:*

$$\overline{d}_M(\Phi_{H_1}, \Phi_{H_2}) \le \overline{d}_B(\phi_{F_1}, \phi_{F_2})) + 2\ \max|H_1 - H_2|$$

and

$$\overline{d}^\infty_M(\Phi_{H_1}, \Phi_{H_2}) \le \overline{d}^\infty_B(\phi_{F_1}, \phi_{F_2})) + 2\ \max|H_1 - H_2|.$$

Therefore

$$d^M_{\mathrm{cont}}(\Phi_{H_1}, \Phi_{H_2})) \le d^B_{\mathrm{ham}}(\phi_{H_1}, \phi_{H_2})) + 3\max|H_1 - H_2|$$

and

$$d^\infty_{\mathrm{cont}}(\Phi_{H_1}, \Phi_{H_2})) \le d^\infty_{\mathrm{ham}}(\phi_{H_1}, \phi_{H_2})) + 3\max|H_1 - H_2|$$

Corollary 5.1. *Let ϕ_{F_n} be a Cauchy sequence of hamiltonian isotopies of (B, ω) for the hamiltonian distance d^{∞}_{ham} on B Then Φ_{H_n} is a Cauchy sequence of strictly contact isotopies of (M, α), where $F_n \circ \pi = H_n$.*

The main results of this section are the following

Theorem 5.1. *Let (M, α) be a compact manifold with a regular contact form α. Fix a t with $0 < t \leq 1$. Let Φ_{H_i} be a sequence of strictly contact isotopies such that $\Phi^t_{H_i}$ converges C^0 to a homeomorphism $\psi_t : M \to M$. If $H : M \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth basic function such that $||H_i \# \overline{H}||_{\infty} \to 0$, then $\psi_t = \Phi^t_H$.*

Theorem 5.2. *Let (M, α) be a regular contact manifold. If the sequence of basic functions $H_n : M \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is Cauchy with respect to the norm $||\cdot||_{\infty}$ and the sequence of strictly contact isotopies Φ_{H_n} converges uniformly to the constant path at the identity, then*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} H_n = 0.$$

Therefore, an element $h \in S_{\infty}(M, \omega)$ gives rise to a unique continuous contact hamiltonian.

Theorem 5.3. *On a regular contact manifold, the group of strictly contact homeomorphisms is a central extension of the group of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms of the base,* $\text{Hameo}(B, \omega)$, *by S^1, i.e. there exists a short exact sequence of groups*

$$1 \to S^1 \to S_{\infty}(M, \alpha) \xrightarrow{\overline{p}} \text{Hameo}(B, \Omega) \to 1. \tag{16}$$

Theorem 5.1 is the analogue of following

Proposition 5.3. *(*[15]*) Let (B, ω) be a compact symplectic manifold and fix a value of $0 < t \leq 1$. Let ϕ_{F_i} be a sequence of hamiltonian isotopies such that $\phi^t_{F_i}$ converges C^0 to a homeomorphism $\psi_t : M \to M$. If $F : B \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function such that $||F_i \# \overline{F}||_{\text{Hofer}} \to 0$, then $\psi_t = \phi^t_F$.*

In [15], this proposition was stated with $t = 1$. We think of ϕ^t_F as the time one map of the family $s \mapsto \phi^{st}_F$ with $s \in [0, 1]$, which is generated by the family of hamiltonian functions

$$\widehat{F}_s = t \cdot F(x, st).$$

Since $0 \leq t \leq 1$, we have $||\widehat{F}||_{\text{Hofer}} \leq ||F||_{\text{Hofer}}$.

The proof of this proposition uses the energy/capacity inequality in Hofer geometry [10], [12] and beautifully illustrates the foundation of the C^0 topology.

For the convenience of the reader, we reproduce verbatim their argument:

To the contrary, suppose that $\psi_t \neq \phi^t_F$, i.e. $(\phi^t_F)^{-1} \circ \psi_t \neq \mathrm{id}$. By continuity there exists a small compact ball B such that

$$B \cap (\phi^t_F)^{-1} \circ \psi_t(B) = \emptyset.$$

Next since $\phi^t_{F_i}$ converges uniformly to ψ_t we must also have that for all i sufficiently large

$$B \cap \left((\phi^t_F)^{-1} \circ \phi^t_{F_i} \right)(B) = \emptyset.$$

Since the hamiltonian diffeomorphism $(\phi^t_F)^{-1} \circ \phi^t_{F_i}$ displaces the ball B, the Hofer displacement energy [10] satisfies

$$e(B) \leq ||(\phi^t_F)^{-1} \circ \phi^t_{F_i}||_{\text{Hofer}}$$

for all i sufficiently large. The energy capacity inequality [12] implies

$$0 < e(B) \leq ||(\phi^t_F)^{-1} \circ \phi^t_{F_i}||_{\text{Hofer}}. \tag{17}$$

Finally, since $(\phi^t_F)^{-1} \circ \phi^t_{F_i}$ is generated by $\widehat{F}_i \# \overline{\widehat{F}}$, we have:

$$||(\phi^t_F)^{-1} \circ \phi^t_{F_i}||_{\text{Hofer}} \leq ||\widehat{F}_i \# \overline{\widehat{F}}||_{\text{Hofer}} \leq ||F_i \# \overline{F}||_{\text{Hofer}}$$

and by assumption $||F_i \# \overline{F}||_{\text{Hofer}} \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$, which contradicts equation (17). $\square$

Recall that the Hofer norm $||\phi||$ of a hamiltonian diffeomorphism ϕ is the infimum of $||F||_{\text{Hofer}}$ where F runs over all hamiltonian functions generating ϕ.

5.1. **The proof of Theorem 5.1.** Let $F, F_n : B \to \mathbb{R}$ be functions on B such that $F \circ \pi = F$ and $F_n \circ \pi = F_n$ from Scholium 5.1. By Proposition 5.1, we have

$$||\overline{F} \# F_n||^{\infty}_{\text{Hofer}} \to \infty, n \to \infty. \tag{18}$$

since $||\overline{H} \# H_n||_\infty \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$.

The strictly contact isotopies Φ_{H_n}, Φ_H project to the hamiltonian isotopies ϕ_{F_n}, ϕ_F.

The homeomorphisms $\psi^t : M \to M$ must be equivariant. Indeed let $s \in S^1$. Since each Φ_{H_i} is S^1–equivariant:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^t(s \cdot x) &= \lim_{i \to \infty} \Phi^t_{H_i}(s \cdot x) \\ &= \lim_{i \to \infty} s \cdot \Phi^t_{H_i}(x) \\ &= s \cdot \left(\lim_{i \to \infty} \Phi^t_{H_i}(x) \right) \\ &= s \cdot \psi^t(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the homeomorphisms ψ_t descend to homeomorphism $\underline{\psi}^t : B \to B$.

In fact $\underline{\psi}^t(b) = \pi(\psi^t(\sigma(b))$, where $\sigma : U \to M$ is a local section (see S. 5.1).

The fact that $\Phi^t_{H_n}$ converges C^0 to ψ_t implies that

$$\phi^t_{F_n} \to \underline{\psi}^t \tag{19}$$

uniformly (since the distance $\overline{d}_B$ is obviously smaller than the distance $\overline{d}_M$

Because of the trivial inequality

$$||\overline{F}\#F_n||_{\text{Hofer}} \leq ||\overline{F}\#F_n||^{\infty}_{\text{Hofer}}$$

and equations (18) and (19) we may use Proposition 5.3 and deduce that

$$\underline{\psi}^t = \phi^t_F$$

i.e

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi^t_{F_n} = \phi^t_F,$$

or

$$\overline{d}_B(\phi^t_{F_n}, \phi^t_F) \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

By Lemma 5.1

$$\overline{d}_M(\Phi^t_{H_n}, \Phi^t_H) \leq \overline{d}_B(\phi^t_{F_n}, \phi^t_F) + 2\ \max|H_n - H|.$$

The condition

$$||H_i\#\overline{H}||_\infty \to 0$$

implies that $H_n \to H$ uniformly, hence $\max|H_n - H| \to 0$ as $n \to 0$.

Therefore

$$\overline{d}_M(\Phi^t_{H_n}, \Phi^t_H) \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

which means that

$$\Phi^t_{H_n} \to \Phi^t_H$$

in the C^0-topology. Hence $\Phi^t_H = \psi_t$ □

Theorem 5.2 is a consequence of the Viterbo uniqueness theorem for continuous hamiltonians [17].

Theorem 5.4. *(*[17]*) Let $F_n(x,t)$ be a sequence of normalized (smooth) hamiltonians on a compact symplectic manifold (B,ω) which converge in the C^0 topology to some continuous function H. If the sequence of hamiltonian isotopies ϕ_{F_n} converges to the trivial path at the identity in the C^0 topology, then $H = 0$.*

The proof of this theorem is delicate. At a point it requires an argument from the "hard" symplectic topology.

5.2. **The proof of Theorem 5.2.** Let F_n on B be the sequence of functions on B given by Scholium 5.1 such that $F_n \circ \pi = H_n$, and normalize them as usual:

$$\tilde{F}_n = F_n - \int_B F \; \omega^{\wedge d}.$$

where d is half of the dimension of B.

By Proposition 5.1

$$||\tilde{F}_n||_\infty^{\text{Hofer}} \leq ||H_n||_\infty.$$

Therefore $\tilde{F}_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in the L^∞ topology, and hence converges uniformly to a continuous function $\tilde{F}$.

Since the sequence of hamiltonian isotopies $\phi_{\tilde{F}_n}$ uniformly converges to the identity, Viterbo's theorem implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{F}_n = \tilde{F} = 0.$$

Hence the Cauchy sequence $H_n = (\tilde{F}_n \circ \pi + \int_B F_n \; \omega^n)$ converges to

$$C = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_B F_n \; \omega^n.$$

The quantity $C = C_t$ is a uniformly continuous function of t. Let Φ_C^t be the family of strictly contact diffeomorphisms such that

$$\frac{d\Phi_C^t}{dt} \left(\Phi_C^t\right)^{-1}(x) = C_t \cdot \xi(x),$$

then $\left(\Phi_C^t\right)^{-1} \circ \Phi_{H_i}^t$ is generated by $H_i \# \overline{C}$.

Obviously, $||H_n \# \overline{C}||_\infty \to 0$ when $n \to \infty$. We also have that $\Phi_{H_i}^t \to \mathrm{id}_M$ uniformly.

By Theorem 5.1, for any $t > 0$

$$\Phi_C^t = \mathrm{id}_M.$$

Hence $C = 0$.

If two Cauchy sequences (in the L^∞ topology) $\Phi_{H_i}^k$, $k = 1, 2$, converge C^0 to the same path γ in the group of homeomorphisms then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} H_n^1 = \lim_{n\to\infty} H_n^2.$$

This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.1. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.2 □

5.3. **The proof of Theorem 5.3.** In the smooth category the group of strictly contact diffeomorphisms $G_\alpha(M)$ forms a central extension by S^1 of the group of hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of the base, $\mathrm{Ham}(B, \omega)$.

Theorem 5.5 ([1])**.** *Suppose (M, α) is a compact regular contact manifold and let (B, ω) denote the quotient by the Reeb flow. There exists an exact sequence of groups*

$$1 \to S^1 \to G_\alpha(M) \xrightarrow{p} \mathrm{Ham}(B, \omega) \to 1. \tag{20}$$

□

We recall the definition of the map p. Any $h \in G_\alpha(M)$ is equivariant; hence it projects to a diffeomorphism $\underline{h}$ of B. If $\Phi_H = (\phi_t)$ is a strictly contact isotopy with $\Phi^1_H = h$, then ϕ_F is a hamiltonian isotopy with $\phi^1_F = \underline{h}$. Here $F \circ \pi = H$ is given by (Scholium 5.1). Therefore $\underline{h} \in \mathrm{Ham}(M, \omega)$. We define p by setting

$$p(h) = \underline{h}$$

To define $\overline{p}$, consider a homeomorphism μ such that there exists a path γ in $\mathrm{Homeo}(M)$ with $\gamma(0) = \mathrm{id}$, $\gamma(1) = \mu$, and a sequence of strictly contact isotopies Φ_{H_n} which is Cauchy for the d^∞_{cont} topology and converges in the C^0 topology to γ.

A previous argument (in the proof of Theorem 5.1) shows that γ is equivariant, and hence projects to a path $\underline{\gamma}$ in $\mathrm{Homeo}(B)$. The sequence of hamiltonian isotopies ϕ_{F_n} is Cauchy for the d^∞_{ham} by Proposition 5.1, and converges C^0 to $\underline{\gamma}$. This shows that $\underline{\mu} = \underline{\gamma} \in \mathrm{Hameo}(B, \omega)$. Now set

$$\overline{p}(\mu) = \underline{\mu}.$$

To show that this map $\overline{p}$ is surjective, start with $a \in \mathrm{Hameo}(B, \omega)$, and a path ρ in $\mathrm{Homeo}(B)$ such that $\rho(0) = \mathrm{id}$, $\rho(1) = a$, a sequence of hamiltonian isotopies ϕ_{F_n}, which is Cauchy for the d^∞_{ham} topology and converges C^0 to ρ. These data specify an element of $\mathrm{Hameo}(M, \omega)$, since by Müller's theorem, we may define $\mathrm{Hameo}(M, \omega)$ using the L^∞ topology [14].

By Corollary 5.1, the lift Φ_{H_n} of the hamiltonian isotopies ϕ_{F_n} (where $H_n = F_n \circ \pi$, (see (Scholium 5.1)) is Cauchy the d^∞_{cont} topology. Its C^0 limit is a continuous path $\gamma \in \mathrm{Homeo}(M)$. Therefore $\gamma(1) \in S_\infty(M, \alpha)$ and projects onto a. Therefore our map $\overline{p}$ is surjective.

Let us now consider two elements $\mu_i, i = 1, 2$ in $S_\infty(M, \alpha)$ such that $\overline{p}(\mu_1) = \overline{p}(\mu_2)$. With the notations above the paths $\gamma_i \in \mathrm{Homeo}(M)$ satisfy

$$\gamma_i(0) = \mathrm{id}, \quad \gamma_i(1) = \mu_i$$

and project to $\underline{\gamma}_i$ with $\underline{\gamma}_1 = \underline{\gamma}_2$. Let Φ_{H_n} be the Cauchy sequence in the d^∞_{cont} distance, converging in the C^0 topology to γ_i. Let $L_n = F^1_n \# \overline{F}^2_n$, where $F^i_n \circ \pi = H^i_n$ then ϕ_{L_n} is a Cauchy sequence for the d^∞_{ham} topology and $\phi^1_{L_n} \to \mathrm{id}$ uniformly. From the proof of Theorem 5.1, we have that $\lim_{n\to\infty} G_n$ is some constant C, where $G_n = H^1_n \# \overline{H}^2_n$

Since $\Phi^1_{G_n} \to \mu_2(\mu_1)^{-1}$, and $||G_n \# \overline{C}|| \to 0$, theorem 5.1 says that $\Phi^1_C = \mu_2(\mu_1)^{-1}$. Therefore $\mu_2(\mu_1)^{-1}$ is an element of the transformation along the Reeb field, i.e. it belongs to S^1. Hence the kernel of $\overline{p}$ is S^1.

Finally observe that all the maps are continuous. □

The only thing which is left is the proof of Lemma 5.1 and its corollary.

5.4. **The proof of Lemma 5.1.** Given isotopies Φ_G or ϕ_F, we write $\Phi_G(x)$, resp. $\phi_F(y)$ for $\Phi_G^{t_0}(x)$, resp $\phi_F^{t_0}(x)$ for some fixed t_0. By definition, the distance $d_0(\Phi_{H_1}(x), \Phi_{H_2}(x))$ is the infimum of the lengths $l(\gamma)$ of paths starting at $\Phi_{H_1}(x)$ and ending at $\Phi_{H_2}(x))$. If γ_t' is the velocity vector of the curve γ, then $l(\gamma) = \int_0^1 |\gamma_t'|dt$, where $(|\gamma_t'|)^2 = g(\gamma_t', \gamma_t')$ and g is some riemannian metric. Here we use a riemannian metric compatible with α and which is a part of a contact metric structure (g, J). We decompose γ_t' as $\gamma_t' = J(\gamma_t') + (V_t)\xi$. Hence

$$|\gamma_t'| \leq |J(\gamma_t')| + |V_t|.$$

Observe that $J(\gamma_t')$ is equal to the velocity vector of the tangent vector along the projection $\pi(\gamma)$ of the curve γ. That curves joins $\phi_{F_1}(\pi(x))$ to $\phi_{F_2}(\pi(x))$. Integrating the above inequality, we get:

$$l(\gamma) \leq l(\pi(\gamma)) + \int_0^1 |V_\gamma(t)|dt \tag{21}$$

Now taking the infimum on both sides of the inequality above yields the inequality:

$$d_0(\Phi_{H_1}(x), \Phi_{H_2}(x)) \leq d_0(\phi_{F_1}(\pi(x)), \phi_{F_2}(\pi(x))) + \inf\left(\int_0^1 |V(t)|\ dt\right)$$

We need to observe that any path δ between $\phi_{F_1}(\pi(x))$ and $\phi_{F_2}(\pi(x))$ is the projection of some curve in M joining $\Phi_{H_1}(x)$ and $\Phi_{H_2}(x)$. Indeed take the horizontal lift of δ starting at $\Phi_{H_1}(x)$, and join its extremity with $\Phi_{H_2}(x)$ through a piece of trajectory of the Reeb field. The resulting curve has the required properties. This is why the infimum of the first term in the right hand side of equation (21) is the d_0 distance between $\phi_{F_1}(x)$ and $\phi_{F_2}(x)$.

Consider now the curve γ

$$s \mapsto \Phi_{H_2}^{st_0}(\Phi_{H_1}^{st_0})^{-1}\Phi_{H_1}^{t_0}(x)$$

then

$$V_\gamma(y) = \alpha(\gamma_s') = (H_2)(st_0, y) - (H_1)(st_0, y)$$

We conclude that

$$d_0(\Phi_{H_1}, \Phi_{H_2}) \leq d_0(\phi_{F_1}, \phi_{F_2}) + \sup_{(x,t)} |H_1(x,t) - H_2(x,t)|$$

Clearly,

$$\overline{d}^M(\Phi_{H_1}, \Phi_{H_2}) \leq \overline{d}^B(\phi_{F_1}, \phi_{F_2}) + 2\left(\sup_{(x,t)} |H_1 - H_2|\right)$$

Add $||H_1 - H_2||_\infty$ to both sides of the inequality above. And recall that

$$\begin{aligned} ||H_1 - H_2||_\infty &= ||F_1 - F_2||_\infty^{\text{Hofer}} + \max_{t\in[0,1]} \left| \int_M (H_1 - H_2)\ \nu_\alpha \right| \\ &\leq ||F_1 - F_2||_\infty^{\text{Hofer}} + \sup |H_1 - H_2| \cdot \text{Vol}(M). \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that the volume of M is equal to 1. We get:

$$\overline{d}^{M}_{\text{cont}}(\Phi_{H_1}, \Phi_{H_2}) \leq \overline{d}^{B}_{\text{ham}}(\phi_{F_1}, \phi_{F_2}) + 3\left(\sup_{(x,t)} |H_1 - H_2|\right)$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 5.1 $\square$

Proof of Corollary 5.1.

Let ϵ be given and let $\epsilon_1 = (8/11)\epsilon$. If ϕ_{F_n} is Cauchy for the d_{ham} distance, there exists n_0 such that for all $n, m \geq n_0$,

$$||F_n - F_m||_\infty \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{8}$$

and

$$\overline{d}_B(\phi_{F_n}, \phi_{F_m}) \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}.$$

The first equation above implies that $\max\limits_{(x,t)} |F_n - F_m| \leq \dfrac{\epsilon_1}{4}$, and equation (2) and Lemma 5.1 give:

$$\overline{d}_M(\Phi_{H_n}, \Phi_{H_m}) \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2} + 2\left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{4}\right) = \epsilon_1$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} ||H_n - H_m||_\infty &= ||F_n - F_m||_\infty + \left|\int_M (H_n - H_m)\ \nu_\alpha\right| \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{8} + \frac{\epsilon_1}{4} = 3\left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{8}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$d_{\text{cont}}(\Phi_{H_n}, \Phi_{H_m}) \leq \epsilon_1 + 3\left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{8}\right) = \frac{11\epsilon_1}{8} = \epsilon.$$

$\square$

6. REMARKS ON THE CONTACT RIGIDITY

The Eliashberg-Gromov rigidity theorem (see [9],[11]) states that if a sequence (ϕ_n) of symplectic diffeomorphisms of a compact symplectic manifold (M, ω) converges in the C^0 topology to a smooth diffeomorphism ψ, then ψ is symplectic, i.e. $\psi^*\omega = \omega$.

Is the analogue of this statement true in contact geometry? Namely if a sequence of contact diffeomorphisms converge in the C^0-topology to a smooth diffeomorphism, is this limit a contact diffeomorphism?

We do not know the answer to this question. Let us consider the following narrower question: If a sequence ϕ_n of strictly contact diffeomorphisms of a compact contact manifold converges in the C^0 topology to a smooth diffeomorphism ψ, is this diffeomorphism a strictly contact diffeomorphism?

We can not answer this question either. However, if the contact manifold (M,α) is regular, then $\psi^* d\alpha = d\alpha$.

Indeed the sequence ϕ_n of strictly contact diffeomorphisms induces a sequence of symplectic diffeomorphisms of the basic symplectic manifold B which C^0 converges to a smooth diffeomorphism h of B. By Eliasherg-Gromov, h is symplectic,i.e $h^*\omega = \omega$. Since ψ projects onto h and $d\alpha = \pi^*\omega$, it follows that $\psi^* d\alpha = d\alpha$. From this equation, we see that $\psi^*\alpha - \alpha$ is a closed form. It is easy to see that it is a basic 1-form, which represents the Calabi invariant of h (see [2] and [3]). The next step would be to show that this invariant is zero. This would easily lead to the fact that ψ is a strictly contact diffeomorphism.

References

1. Augustin Banyaga, *The group of diffeomorphisms preserving a regular contact form*, Topology and Geometry, L'Enseignment Mathématique (1979), no. 26, 47–53.
2. ______, *Invariants of contact structures and transversally oriented foliations*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **14** (1996), no. 4, 368–378.
3. ______, *The structure of classical diffeomorphism groups*, no. 400, Kluwer Acad. Publ. Group, Boston, 1997.
4. ______, *On the group of strong symplectic homeomorphisms*, (2007), preprint.
5. Augustin Banyaga and Paul Donato, *Lengths of contact isotopies and extensions of the Hofer metric*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **30** (2006), no. 3, 299–312.
6. Augustin Banyaga and Peter W. Spaeth, *On the group of contact homeomorphisms*, Preprint 2008.
7. David E. Blair, *Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds*, no. 203, Birkhüaser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.
8. W. M. Boothby and H.C. Wang, *On contact manifolds*, Ann. of Math (2) **68** (1958), 721–734.
9. Ya. M. Eliashberg, *A theorem on the structure of wave fronts and its application in symplectic topology. (russian)*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen **21** (1987), no. 3, 65–71,96.
10. H. Hofer, *On the topological properties of symplectic maps*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **115** (1990), 25–38.
11. H. Hofer and E. Zehnder, *Symplectic Invariants and Hamiltonian Dynamics*, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1994.
12. F. Lalonde and D. McDuff, *The geometry of symplectic energy*, Ann. Math. (1995), no. 141, 349–371.
13. P. Liebermann and C. Marle, *Symplectic Geometry and Analytical Mechanics*, D. Reidel, Boston, 1987.
14. S. Müller, *The group of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms in the L^∞–norm*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **45** (2008), no. 6, 1769–1784.
15. Y.-G. Oh and S. Müller, *The group of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms and C^0 symplectic topology*, J. Sympl. Geom. **5** (2007), no. 2, 167–219.
16. J.M. Souriau, *Structure des systémes dyamiques*, Dunod, Paris, 1969.
17. C. Viterbo, *On the uniqueness of generating Hamiltonian for continuous limits of Hamiltonian flows*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2006** (2006).

Department of Mathematics, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA
E-mail address: `banyaga@math.psu.edu (Augustin Banyaga)`
E-mail address: `spaeth@math.psu.edu (Peter Spaeth)`